1		
2		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
5	* * *	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) 2:11-CR-00453-PMP-CWH	
7	) )	
8	vs. Plaintiff, ) ORDER	
9	DAVID M. MARK,	
10	Defendant.	
11	)	
12	Before the Court for consideration is Defendant David Mark's fully briefed Motion to	
13	Reconsider Denial of Motion to Dismiss Indictment Based Upon Government's Failure to Honor	
14	Grant of Informal Immunity in Light of Newly Discovered Evidence (Doc. #157).	
15	The matters addressed in Defendant's motion for were fully litigated in the midst of tria	
16	during the evidentiary hearing conducted March 28, 2013, and indeed throughout the trial of the	
17	case. Having reviewed the newly produced telephone toll records submitted on behalf of	
18	Defendant Mark together with Defendant Mark's Declaration, the Court finds no sufficient grounds	
19	presented to warrant further evidentiary hearing or reconsideration of this Court's previous Order	
20	denying Defendant's motion to dismiss.	
21	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant David Mark's Motion to Recor	nsider
22	Denial of Motion to Dismiss Indictment Based Upon Government's Failure to Honor Grant of	
23	Informal Immunity in Light of Newly Discovered Evidence (Doc. #157) is <b>DENIED</b> .	
24	DATED: May 29, 2013.	
25		
26	Phy m. On	

PHILIP M. PRO United States District Judge